

Qwest

1801 California Street, 10th Floor Denver, Colorado 80202 Phone 303 383 6643 Facsimile 303 296 3132 Melissa.Thompson@gwest.com

Melissa Thompson Senior Attorney

January 21, 2005

Ms. Ilona Jeffcoat-Sacco Executive Secretary North Dakota Public Service Commission 600 East Boulevard Avenue -- 12th Floor Bismarck, ND 58505-0480

Re: Qwest/Midcontinent Communications QPPTM Agreement

Dear Ms. Jeffcoat-Sacco:

Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") and Midcontinent Communications recently executed a commercial agreement relating to the provisioning of switching and shared transport. The agreement is entitled "Master Services Agreement" and includes, marked as Exhibit 1, the "Owest Platform PlusTM Service" (together hereinafter, the "QPPTM Agreement").

I am submitting with this letter a courtesy copy of the QPPTM Agreement for informational purposes only. The reason that I am submitting the QPPTM Agreement for informational purposes only is because Qwest believes that section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), does not requiring filing of the QPPTM Agreement for approval with state commissions.

As you are likely aware, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in what has been termed *USTA II* became effective on June 16, 2004. In *USTA II*, the D.C. Circuit vacated various rules promulgated by the FCC including, but not limited to, the requirement pursuant to section 251(c)(3) that incumbent local exchange carriers unbundle certain network elements, including switching and shared transport. As stated by the FCC, the section 252(e) filing obligation applies to "an agreement that creates an *ongoing* obligation pertaining to resale, number portability, dialing parity, access to rights-of-way, reciprocal compensation, interconnection, unbundled network elements, or collocation." Combining the impact of the D.C. Circuit's opinion with the FCC's Declaratory Ruling regarding section 252(e), there are no section 251(c)(3) obligations on the incumbent to provide switching and shared transport as unbundled network elements and, thus, there are no section 252(e) filing obligations associated with the OPPTM Agreement.

¹ United States Telecom Ass'n. v FCC, Case No. 00-1012, (Decided March 2, 2004).

² In the Matter of Qwest Communications International Inc. Petition for Declaratory Ruling on the Scope of the Duty to File and Obtain Prior Approval of Negotiated Contractual Arrangements under Section 252(a)(1), para. 8 (emphasis that of the FCC).

Ms. Ilona Jeffcoat-Sacco January 21, 2005 Page 2

The QPPTM Agreement is posted on the Qwest Wholesale website in its entirety and is available to all carriers that agree to all of the terms and obligations assumed by Midcontinent Communications. Further, Qwest has filed the QPPTM Agreement with the Federal Communications Commission under 47 U.S.C. § 211(a).

Contact information for Midcontinent Communications is as follows:

Nancy A. Vogel, Business Director – Communications Midcontinent Communications 5001 W. 41st Street Sioux Falls, SD 57106

Telephone: 605-357-5485

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Whitey They

Melissa K. Thompson

Enclosure

cc: Mel Kambeitz (w/o enclosures)

Nancy A. Vogel (w/o enclosures)